


THE GATE



INDEPENDENT
NEWSPAPER

L.A. FREE SPEECH ACTIVITIES

(The following article is a report by Charlie Brown of his speaking tour and activities in the Southern California area over the Christmas vacation. At the time of his departure from Berkeley, he was traveling under the auspices of the FSM. During the course of his stay in the Los Angeles area, he "made some fairly serious mistakes" (to use his own words) in his activities which brought about his ouster from the FSM. He wanted to make it perfectly clear that he is not a representative of the FSM and the views expressed here are his own.)

At the Pacific Southwest Conference of Liberal Religious Youth held in Los Angeles from December 19-20, I was amazed to hear of the repression of free expression in high school. Rarely can high school newspapers present articles about controversial subjects. In one high school, for example, students are not allowed to discuss anything of a controversial nature - sex, religion, politics.

continued on page 5

Irwin Calls Savio 'Dupe'

Clyde Irwin, senior in physical sciences, appeared at yesterday's Free Speech Movement rally carrying two large signs which proclaimed "Mario Savio is a dupe of the Communists" and "White Man, Fight." Holding the two posters over his head on the steps of Sproul Hall, Irwin was accompanied by two fellow members of the American Nazi Party. He is the secretary of the to-be-formed branch of the party on the campus, and responded to questions of the crowd that he is 33 years old.

On the steps, a man who stated that he was a citizen of Germany stated that the sign (with the swastika) offended him and he made efforts to grab it. Various members of the FSM sought to prevent an incident by reminding the gathered that free speech exists for all.

He identified one of his companions as Robert Martel, a group leader of the ANP. To the gathering crowd, (mostly newsmen and assorted photographers) Our concept of Nazism is the same as that of the German people before World War II; we don't believe in nationalism. He continued by stating that we are not against the Jews (because of their nationality); it is because they tend toward Communism.

EXPLAINS WHY SAVIO 'A DUPE'

At Bancroft and Telegraph, Irwin explained to the Gate why he thought "Mario Savio is a dupe of the Communists". The things the FSM is working for - to try to open up the University - these things will benefit CORE, SNCC, and the NAACP. They are recruiting groups of political agitators to go into Mississippi and Louisiana.

The Communists have as their goals mixing of the races and preventing of wars, he continued; as a youth of about 15 years, I had an IQ of 150 and read a great deal of Communist literature. Some of our members in the ANP are former members of Communist cells. They are familiar with the goals which the Communists are seeking.

COMMUNISTS HAVE IDEOLOGICAL CONTROL OF THE UNIVERSITY

The communists have ideological control of the University; in answer to the question how did they achieve it, Irwin replied the students of the 1930s became some of the professors who are teaching here today. The depression of the 1930s made this a Communist University.

(I pointed out to him that the goals of the FSM had enabled his group to come on campus to distribute literature, recruit members, and picket.

DIFFICULTY WITH THE PAPERS

Irwin went on to discuss the difficult time he had found in getting advertisements in the local papers - even the Daily Cal gave him a bad time, he indicated.

He related that last Saturday, we (the American Nazi Party) had counter-picketed the (Ad Hoc) pickets at the Oakland Tribune - and none of the papers carried any coverage of this (I indicated to him that only the Tribune includes continued on page 6

Vol. 2 No. 12 Week of January 4-8, 1965 10¢ weekly \$3.25 per year

FSM Rally

About 3000 students gathered in the mall Monday to hear FSM speakers discuss, firstly, the principles of the FSM. Martin Royscher pointed out some of the points with which the FSM disagreed: students should have jurisdiction over the determination of their rights; many of the regulations violate the content of speech; faculty members must give assent before a speaker can be invited by an off-campus group; posters must be approved by the Dean's office; neither the 48 hours notice or faculty moderator are necessary for presentation of speakers.

THE ONLY PRINCIPLE GOVERNING POLITICAL ACTIVITY ON CAMPUS

Royscher stated that the principle of the educational process should be the only principle governing political activity on campus. The police are the responsibility of the University, he continued; if it feels they are necessary at meetings, let them pay.

OTHER SPEAKERS AND SUBJECTS

Other speakers, including Mario Savio, Bettina Aptheker, Steve Weisman and Myra Jehlen in turn, discussed the appointment of acting Chancellor Meyerson, the brief rules he has issued to govern the campus until more satisfactory regulations can be worked out, and, the action of the Regents on December 18 in redefining the rights of speech and advocacy on campus.

THE 800 STUDENTS...UNPLEASANT SITUATION

As the last speaker at the rally, Jack Weinberg told the crowd, we cannot forget the 800 students who were arrested and may be facing an unpleasant situation in the courts.

He referred to the earlier police car incident - and we tried to forget it - "but we cannot forget them". We cannot deny how we got here; no matter how unpleasant it may be, we cannot forget what the 800 students did for us.

THE THREE JUVENILES SENTENCED

Weinberg mentioned the three juveniles who were convicted and sentenced over vacation; he explained that the lawyers had delegated authority to other lawyers who were not sophisticated enough to handle the situation.

continued on page 6

DEFENDANTS ENTER PLEAS; FIRST THREE SENTENCED

Beginning Tuesday January 5 and continuing through January 14, the first of 768 sit-ins arrested at Sproul Hall will enter pleas at the Veterans Memorial Building before Judge Rupert Crittenden. They will appear in groups of 50 at 9 a.m. and at 2 p.m. It is expected that the majority of the students will seek a continuance until January 25. Defense attorneys handling about 700 of the arrest cases because they have not had time to interview all their clients. In addition to Malcolm Burnstein, chief defense counsel, the attorneys, all volunteers, are Harry Elson, Stanley Gold, Spencer Strellis, John Dunn, Howard Jewel, and Norman Leonard.

If the Judge does not grant the attorneys' request for a continuance he forces a plea, leaving the possibility that many of the remaining defendants would enter a not guilty plea, possibly asking for a jury trial.

Each of the three counts with which most of the demonstrators are charged carry a penalty continued on page 2

On the Inside

MULFORD TO INVESTIGATE U.C. TROUBLES 2
REVIEW: FOLK SONG NEW YEAR'S EVE 6
SEN. SCHRADE PROPOSES LEGISLATION TO EXCEL FACULTY AND STUDENTS FOR PARTICIPATION IN FREE SPEECH ACTIVITIES 2
STATE SENATE MINORITY LEADER DISCUSSES "THE UNIVERSITY AND FREE SPEECH BEFORE THE COMMONWEALTH CLUB OF SAN FRANCISCO" 3-4-5
SATIRICAL EDITION NEXT WEEK SEE PAGE 6

2 Continuance sought; Defendants Enter Pleas - First Three are Sentenced

continued from page 1

of \$600 and/or 6 months in jail. Some defendants are charged with resisting arrest; all are charged with trespassing and failure to disperse.

o o o o

9 students appeared in Berkeley Municipal Court December 30 to plead nolo contendere (no contest) to charges resulting from the sit-in at Sproul Hall. The six coeds and three men were told by Judge Crittenden to prepare written reports explaining why each participated in the December 2-3 sit-ins. They were told to include "anything you regard as mitigating." The letters are to come as soon as possible.

Of the nine defendants, one man and one woman were charged with failure to disperse and trespassing. The others are additionally charged with resisting arrest. They were represented by attorney Stanley Gold.

Judge Crittenden set February 26 for sentencing.

o o o o

Two of the demonstrators arrested at Sproul Hall on December 3 pleaded no contest to three charges in Municipal Court on December 18.

Ardath Anderson, 21, of 2215 Channing Way, and Richard A. Muller, 20, of 2423 Blake St., pleaded "nolo contendere" before Judge Rupert Crittenden. They were charged with resisting arrest, trespassing and refusing to leave a riot scene during the sit-in.

Anderson will appear for sentencing Feb. 3, and Muller will be sentenced on February 10.

o o o o

The first of the 784 sit-ins arrested at Sproul Hall were sentenced on December 22 to a work program at Chabot Ranch and placed on "informal probation" for six months.

The three youths -all under 18- were found guilty after a six-hour hearing in Superior Court, in session as Juvenile Court. Two are students at the University.

The three contested the charges of trespassing, failure to disperse and obstructing a police officer in the discharge of his duty.

At Chabot Ranch, the minimum security juvenile facility in San Leandro, the boys will work four weekends on shifts beginning at 8 a.m. and ending at 5 p.m.

At the conclusion of the hearing, Judge Robert Kroninger issued the following memorandum listing the reasons for his ruling: "It is clear," he said, "that the response of lying down and relaxing the muscles of the extremities was intentional and it is equally clear that the purpose and effect were to delay and obstruct the police officers."

"It doesn't matter that these juveniles described the act as passive resistance," he said, "To describe criminal conduct as civil disobedience is to make words meaningless."

"They consciously rejected "traditional methods of settling disputes" in favor of unlaw-accept responsibility as law violators."

Schrade Calls For Legislation To Expel Faculty, Student Participants

On December 21 State Senator Jack Schrade (R., San Diego) announced that he is preparing proposals to be presented to the Legislature calling for the expulsion of faculty and student demonstrators who took part in the Free Speech Movement at Berkeley.

He said the legislation would be in the form of a bill or possibly a constitutional amendment. He said that he has asked for help from the legislative counsel.


According to article 9, section 9, of the State Constitution, - the office of the President of the University stated- only a constitutional amendment could provide the basis for such action.

Senator Schrade contended "an example must be made" of the case to prevent similar situations. His action was prompted, he added, by thousands of letters protesting the demonstrations.

"Agitators and hoodlums shouldn't be educated at taxpayers expense," he said. He also pointed out that only eight percent of the cost of educating students is paid by the students and their parents.

MULFORD TO INVESTIGATE U.C. TROUBLES

Assemblyman Don Mulford (Rep., 16th District) stated that he will pursue an inquiry into the "real cause" of the University of California student demonstrations. He said he will lead a bipartisan drive to find out if the UC troubles stem from an "ivory tower" approach to education by the faculty and administration.



STATE SENATE MINORITY LEADER DISCUSSES "THE UNIVERSITY AND FREE SPEECH" BEFORE COMMONWEALTH CLUB

3

(The following account of Senator John F. McCarthy's address before the Commonwealth Club of California on December 23 is taken from The Commonwealth, official journal of the Commonwealth Club of California. The Commonwealth Club does not necessarily hold the same views as those of the speaker.)

John F. McCarthy, (Rep., S.F.), Minority Leader of the California State Senate and a member of the Senate Un-American Activities Committee, addressed the Club on the subject "The University and Free Speech."

"It is vital to understand the complexity of the University and the massive enterprise it encompasses.

—Expenditures for this fiscal year are estimated at \$580 million—nearly \$300 million from federal funds, chiefly for special research projects for the Atomic Energy Commission.

—Next fall, the University will encompass some 10 campuses, educating over 70 thousand students, employing over 26 thousand people.

UNIVERSITY A "4th BRANCH" OF GOVERNMENT

—In effect the University is a separate branch of government in itself, a fourth branch. The Regents, not the Legislature, have the general rule-making or policy-making power for the University.

—The Constitution of California establishes the University as a public trust, administered by the Regents. The Regents are granted full powers of organization and government, 'Subject only to such legislative control as may be necessary to insure compliance with the terms of the endowments of the University and security of its funds.'

—Article 9, Section 9, states, 'The University shall be entirely independent of all political or sectarian influence and kept free therefrom in the appointment of the Regents...'

—Eight Regents are ex-officio members; the other 16 appointed by the Governor for 16-year terms.

—In operations, the Regents have nearly exclusive jurisdiction. The Regents, in turn, place prime responsibility for operation of the University with the President; and the Chancellors at Berkeley and Los Angeles for their respective campuses.

—The shadow of the December 2nd and 3rd Sproul Hall sit-in tends to obscure the basic dispute. The controversy touches the tender area of free speech—guaranteed to us in the First Amendment.

—The question is, however: at what point does the principle of free speech interfere with the primary role of the University—the pursuit of knowledge?

—On one side, students demand the right to speak and act on any subject on the campus.

These students concede that the University should have some control over the time, place, and manner of their expressions so that the activity does not interfere with operation of the University.

"RIGHT" TO URGE LAW VIOLATION?

—On the other side, the administration requires that free speech be limited to discussion of lawful activity; unlawful activities may not be discussed. This may be referred to as the advocacy controversy.

—Another area of controversy is that of punishment for advocacy of illegal acts. Here the students claim that the rule which provides for University discipline for advocacy of illegal acts in addition to judicial punishment works a great hardship on the civil rights movement in Northern California.

—For some time, the University has permitted controversial speaker appearances on the campus. The administration has prohibited on-campus recruiting, fund solicitation, and use of University facilities for planning and implementing political activity.

—Students were allowed to carry on their activities at the campus entrance; but on September 14th, the administration banned these activities because they interfered with the flow of traffic.

ALL SHADES REPRESENTED AT START

—Immediately thereafter, various student political groups of all shades of opinion joined together and protested the administration's ban.

—In response to the protest, the administration relaxed the rule and permitted informal activity— but not advocacy or organization of activity.

—In addition, the Chancellor permitted distribution of literature at designated locations. On September 28th, students were advised that if they engaged in illegal acts they would face expulsion.

—In response to this, some students engaged in prohibited activities and when one was arrested sat around the police car for two days. Out of this demonstration rose the 'Free Speech Movement' ('FSM').

—On October 5th, the Chancellor at Berkeley appointed a joint committee of administration, faculty, and students to propose solutions to the political activity problem. While the committee was considering the problem, 'FSM' again engaged in the prohibited political activities, resulting in dissolution of the committee.

CAN'T SOLICIT FUNDS FOR LAW VIOLATIONS

—Finally, on November 20th, the Regents modified their policy and said, '...That certain campus facilities carefully selected and properly regulated, may be used by students and

continued on page 4 column 1

4 UNIVERSITY AND FREE SPEECH *continued from page 3*

staff for planning, implementing, raising funds or recruiting participants for lawful off-campus action, not for unlawful off-campus action.'

—Two days later, leaders of the 'FSM' responded with a three hour sit-in at Sproul Hall. They were now protesting the right of the University to discipline students for off-campus activities.

—A week after the announcement that 'FSM' leaders faced discipline, they issued an ultimatum to the University to drop disciplinary action or face a demonstration. The issue remained the same: only the courts have the right to regulate political activity, including on-campus political activity.

—On December 2nd, 'FSM' supporters—students and people from without the University—held the massive sit-in at Sproul Hall which led to Governor Brown's determined action. Police cleared the building the next day.

'FSM' OPPOSED LAW VIOLATION DISCIPLINE

—A week later, the President of the University announced his agreement with a proposal of department chairmen for amnesty for those students arrested and modification of the University's rules on political activity. Again, 'FSM' rejected the solution because University discipline for illegal off-campus acts was not modified.

—On December 8th, the Academic Senate adopted a proposed solution which called for a general amnesty for students, that the University would regulate political activities only as necessary to guarantee the primary functions of the University (in short, the University would have no control over content of political activity on the campus), and that the Academic Senate should take over disciplinary measures for student political activities.

—Finally, just last Friday, the Regents established a study committee to re-examine the regulations on political activity. They directed that existing rules be enforced and that the administration preserve law and order.

GOVERNOR'S ACTION UPHELD

—I commend the Governor for his action of December 3rd, preventing absolute anarchy at Berkeley. During the 1963 session of the Legislature (sic), when the sit-in people paraded through the capitol, the Governor didn't take such swift action.

—Demonstrators brought their families, lay down in the halls, used all the bathroom facilities and every other facility. Had the Governor expelled the demonstrators when they were interrupting the legislative process in 1963, I feel they would not have invaded the offices of the University in 1964.

—The administration should have taken stronger action in the early stages. Had the University taken steps to discipline students and to enforce both the Regents' regulations and California law—even if they had to resort to police—the massive Sproul Hall sit-in would not have occurred.

STUDENTS HAD LEGAL MEANS AVAILABLE

—The primary mission of our University is to educate, not provide a political forum. The demonstrators managed to embarrass the administration and the University itself. For more than two months, we witnessed a prestige-damaging contest in which the administration took no firm stand.

—The students supporting 'FSM' should stand ready to accept the consequences of their action. If the municipal court finds them guilty, they should accept their punishment.

—Legal means have existed for the students to protest. The administration and the Regents have been available for hearing. Rather than wait for the report of the committee established by the Administration in early October, 'FSM' took the law into its own hands.

—In California, we have never tolerated extra-legal means to accomplish a desired objective. I do not understand how these students can expect anything but equal treatment before the law.

—I want to commend the chairman of the Senate Un-American Activities Committee on his restraint during the controversy. His actions and statements clearly demonstrate a thoughtful approach to investigation of subversive activity.

—The Regents have recognized the need to review existing regulations of the University, are now undertaking such a review, and will ultimately reach a balance between needs of the University in educating our youth and the needs of our youth in gaining maximum individual freedom while on the campus."

ANSWERS TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR (Underlined parts were

heard on the radio broadcast but not present in the written account)

Q: Would you approve sacking of Chancellor Strong?
A: Of course not.

Q: (Ralph Lamon) Would you evaluate Communist influence on the free speech movement? A: With my name, I don't like to look for Reds. Some of the occurrences certainly can be judged as a real left-wing Communist front. But I can't give definite proof. I can only correlate events in Sacramento and at the University.

Q: (Eugene Hopp, M.D.) Is the best way to make good citizens at the University to impart knowledge, reason and logic - or to train them in political activism? A: Orderly activity. They would rather hear and be educated. They should have right to express themselves.

Q: Considering that 16% outsiders were involved, to what extent was the controversy Communist inspired? A: I don't know.

continued on page 5 column 1

FORMERLY ASUC

GRAPHIC ARTS

ESHLEMAN HALL

N O W

Ed Kirwan
GRAPHIC ARTS

2440 BANCROFT WAY,

ROOM 206 849-4452

Southern California Free Speech Activities
CHARLIE BROWN reports - Continued from P. 1

CONFERENCE THEME- EXPRESSION AND EXPERIENCE

In speaking to the 160 students (mostly from Southern California) in various workshops, I discussed the subject of Rebellion, trying to relate it to the conference theme Expression and Experience. As an example, I told them of the situation in Berkeley, its development, and the role which the FSM has played in it.

I told the group that perhaps the Free Speech Movement should be carried to the high schools (they responded with wild cheering). At a free speech rally held that afternoon, I witnessed the mature considerations these kids had when they began to consider - for the first time, perhaps- taking responsibility for their own lives into their own hands. Many would question the ability of these kids to be responsible and mature persons; but I ask how can one be responsible if he is never allowed the chance?

REQUESTS FOR SPEAKERS

There are many requests for speakers received from all over the country- with most going to the Greater Bay Area and Los Angeles though. Many students accept these requests and speak articulately and informatively on their subjects.

UCLA FSM

The UCLA Free Speech Movement is a small group- mainly a support body for Berkeley. It is working toward getting speaking engagements and toward preparing speakers for them. There is a "Responsible" Free Speech Movement (RFSM) formed here which has as its goals, law and order - similar to the Berkeley group of that name.

LOS ANGELES STATE - A NEW FSM

At the Cal State campus in Los Angeles, an FSM movement was begun one week ago (Dec.24). It supports our stand in the current situation.

Committees have just been set up. They are well organized and highly spirited. Their initial activity is to determine whether their administration will let them speak freely or not. Plans are being made for a rally (tentatively set for January 15) at which various speakers will present their views on the free speech situation.

PARENTS DEFENSE COMMITTEE

A committee of parents of arrested students living in Los Angeles has organized a statewide organization to seek dismissal of charges against the students.

Dr. Alex Schoeborn, a spokesman for the group, said about 400 persons attended a meeting on December 29 of the Parents Defense Committee for Berkeley Students.

SPEAKERS

The parents were addressed by some of the attorneys representing the students, and by Assistant Professor John Leggett of the Sociology Department at Berkeley. Leggett told the group: "The University in essence refuses to accept basic civil rights issues as other Universities do..."

Officers of the parent organization are to be chosen January 14.

Charlie Brown

The Gate is on sale on the North side at
The Store 1854 Euclid
throughout the week. Hours varied.

Classified Advertising. 5¢ a word. 1 week.
20 word minimum. Deadline Thursday before publication date. Bring to salesman at Bancroft and Telegraph.

The Gate published every Monday. Bob Weinzeimer, Editor and Publisher. p.o. box 1281 Berkeley 1, Calif.

From page 4
McCarthy on Free Speech cont'd 5

Q: (Carter Collins) Should not the Legislature make it illegal to grant scholarships to persons picketing or rioting against legally appointed administration at our colleges? A: Excellent idea- we could write it into the University budget bill - the exact conditions under which scholarships would be granted. Believe me, I'll take it up with the committee on Finance when I get to Sacramento.

Q: (Ralph L. Owen) Law enforcement and police costs of recent sit-ins exceeded \$25,000 - who should pay? A: I would fine the 800 students enough to pay cost of law enforcement. And if anyone didn't pay, out he'd go!

Q: (Harrison Dibblee) What do you think about professors who refused to teach the few students who attended classes? A: If I were President Kerr, I'd call them in for a long talk and GIVE them hell.

Q: Is it not true that conservative (emphasized - in the written account) student groups refused to join "FSM" in illegal methods? A: Yes, they left it cold.

Q: (Bedford Boyes) Non-student participation? A: I would think this would be included in the Regents' study.

Q: (Carter Collins) What changes in state law do you anticipate to deter further forcible picketing by students and others? A: After 14 years in the Legislature I'm convinced that legislation is not always the best way. Sometimes the agency where the event occurs, if courageous, can handle the matter itself. I'll wait till the Regents make their final report. If they need assistance by law changes, we'll proceed accordingly.

Advertisers' Directory

As You Like It 2435 Dwight Way TH 8-3495 Portraits, paintings, sculpture, handwrought jewelry, leather crafts.

Avalon Art Supply 2805 Telegraph 845-2453

Casa de Eva 2826 Telegraph Ave. 845-9091 authentic Mexican food. Open 11 a.m. TO 10.00 p.m.

Charo, Inc. 2215 Shattuck Ave. 841-3601 In Downtown Berkeley.

Discount Records 2309 Telegraph TH 9-3332

Ed Kirwan Graphic Arts 2440 Bancroft 849-4452

Green Light Copy Service 2634 Ashby Ave. just off College. Parking available. Clement Droz.

Laval's Gardens 1834 Euclid Ave. 843-5617

The Lunch Box Campus Arcade (off Ban. below Tel.)

Re-opening soon. New ownership.

The Quest 1974 Shattuck Ave. Newly opened. A

Restaurant to grow with. Dinners. open 5-10 p.m.

The Store 1854 Euclid Ave. 841-9972 hours varied



WE
SUPPORT
FSM

Hang
Tough!

Review: Folk Song New Year's Eve

New Year's Eve found an extensive program of folk songs at the Berkeley Little Theatre. About half of the available seats were consistently filled during the seven hour long performance with an attentive audience ranging from scruffy blue jeaned and great coated youngsters to corsaged party-dressed women and their uncomfortable looking dark suited escorts. For the most part they all stayed quite awhile and proved at times justifiably enthusiastic towards this quite successful show. The quality of local serious folk music artists is very high, and Barry Oliver organised a program of some of the best.

Taken in the order that we saw them were the following performers. Jean Redpath, who is Scottish, was charming and ingenious in the explanation and presentation of her songs. She was followed by John Henry Mitchell, a more or less old fashioned cowboy type songster from Oklahoma. His style, very well polished, seemed almost disinterested and in a hurry, but still very loose. He was completely formal and 'professional' in his approach. The third was "...the most important new singer in years," according to the program, Alice Stuart. Further discussion of this folk artist, though, shall be considered towards the end of this review. The high point of audience enthusiasm and reaction was reached during the recital of Vern and Ray, assisted by Herb Peterson on banjo. These bluegrass musician-singers who hail from Stockton, complete with their Stockton humor and easy rural charm, had no pretensions that weigh down certain other folk singers, even though some shallow individuals might find them somehow pretentious. They were marvelous! Barry Oliver, who organised this show, can always be relied upon for a wise and confident presentation of his songs, despite the brevity of his appearance on stage. His approach to the material is excellent and tasteful. Janet Smith, a bespectacled charming singer whose lyrical style and accomplishments have only improved over the years, proved to be, in her own gentle way, very impressive. She caresses her autoharp with a tenderness that is refreshing, but still produces keen and pertinent accompaniment to her haunting voice. Charley Marshall, an 'old timer' with a vigorous and practised manner, joined Miss Smith for an effective duet at this point. Merrit Herring, who followed, has a clean clear voice. He is not flamboyant, but certainly good. His wife joined him in a duet, but, while the previous duo, Smith and Marshall, were very good, they proved to be almost wooden at times. Another husband-wife team, Jon and Deidre Lundberg, were next. They sounded subdued but well versed in their songs-sharing their style, which sometimes lacked clarity and sharpness, between them.

Alice Stuart is a young folk singer that recently 'made' the Berkeley scene and was discovered by someone. A great deal has been attributed to this girl in her publicity, but does she really have something? She certainly does have a style, phrases drifting into one another and an interesting delivery, but unfortunately it is quite vapid. Miss Stuart has intonation of sorts also, but it lacks depth. She sounds as though she were singing through her beard, so to speak, her voice lacks quality. Of course she sang a Bob Dylan song, but sank into what seemed the insecure selfassurance of her shallow, tested repertoire. Her stylistic interpretation of "Frankie and Johnny" will likely remain exactly the same for some time to come. We frankly cannot understand why she has received so much unwarranted recognition when there are many more superior artists around, Janet Smith comes to mind, for example. The program notes of the night's performances mentioned that Alice Stuart leaves on her first tour of the East this month. We wish her luck, for we do not think that she will 'make' it.

Stephen Dane

FSM Rally Continued From page 1

A nationwide defense fund is being set up to obtain funds and get publicity. Then Weinberg read a dozen or more names of prominent local and national persons who were allowing their names to be used on the letterhead of the organization.

He urged the assembled to stand behind the defendants, and support them. Things are not over, he concluded.

Irwin continued from page 1

coverage of the picketing each Saturday - usually a small item - unless arrests have occurred. Small coverage has appeared on occasion in the San Francisco paper and in the Berkeley Gazette).

NAZI UNIFORM - SIGN - SHOIVING - SIGN TORN UP
During our conversation, Martel took off his overcoat revealing a Nazi uniform with a swastika armband. He picked up a sign stating "Jews are Through in 1972" (Ed. note: ANP leaders have predicted that their candidate will be elected President in that year following a depression in the U.S.). A crowd gathered around Martel, a slightly built man of about 50; a shoving match ensued between two or three members of the group. His overcoat and hat fell to the ground; moments later, the sign was taken from his grasp, torn up and scattered on the ground.

One man became very upset and angry at the sign; following the destruction of the sign, he and Martel squared off - but no blows were exchanged. A sharp exchange of words did take place between the two. The man referred to the murder of six million; Martel countered that statistics don't prove anything. Another man yelled 'what about Auschwitz?' The crowd wanted to know: 'What about Free Speech?' The argument concluded with Martel saying to his opponents you'll be through in 72 and then he gave the Nazi salute with a final "siege heil!" About 20 minutes later, the rally broke up.


Throughout the earlier incident on Sproul Hall steps and at the corner, a plainclothesed campus police officer observed the goings-ons but he found it unnecessary to intervene (do not believe that he was present when the sign was torn up - editor).

CUBA, Sixth Year of the Revolution

In the January issue of The Minority of One appears an article CUBA, Sixth Year of the Revolution by Jerry Rubin. In the 15 page account, Rubin tells of his two months in Cuba last summer. He states: "These are some of my impressions of Cuba, its people and institutions, impressions gained during a two month stay on the island that ended on August 12, 1964. I traveled wherever I wanted, except for military installations and prisons, and I talked to whomever I wanted. My two closest Cuban acquaintances opposed the revolution."

"I do differ from most other American observers in one respect: I tried to observe the Cuban Revolution on the basis of what is good for Cuba, not what would be good for the United States."

(A collateral account of his stay appeared in the November 2d issue of The Gate. Back issues are available.)



Casa de Eva. Berkeley's outstanding Mexican restaurant.
For authentic Mexican food Señora Eva López invites you to join her for lunch or dinner.

MENU	
LA CONSENTIDA:	
TOSTADA	ENCHILADAS VERDES
ENCHILADA	2 CHICKEN ENCHILADAS WITH
FRUTA	CREMA AND SALSA VERDE
ARROZ	ARROZ FRIJOLES
1.60	1.75
LA COMBINACION:	CHILD'S PLATE
BEEF TACO	80¢
ENCHILADA VERDE	
CHILE RELLENO	
FRIJOLES	
1.75	

Casa de Eva

2826 TELEGRAPH AVE., BERKELEY / 845-9091